# PLAINTIFF'S MOTION EXHIBIT 4

- 1 A. To the best of my memory, it was it was a short
- 2 time after that. I think it was Summer, 2010.
- Q. Do you owe your landlord any money for that
- 4 address?
- 5 MR. NORINSBERG: Objection.
- 6 A. Yes.
- 7 Q. How much do you owe the landlord?
- 8 A. I -- I imagine thousands, a few thousand dollars.
- 9 Q. When did you begin surreptitiously recording your
- 10 coworkers?
- MR. NORINSBERG: Objection.
- 12 A. What does "surreptitiously" mean, what is that?
- Q. When did you begin recording your coworkers
- 14 without their knowledge?
- MR. NORINSBERG: Objection. You can answer.
- 16 A. I don't recall any date when I started. In 2006,
- 17 2007 or 2008, some time around that period.
- 18 Q. Why did you begin recording your coworkers
- 19 without their knowledge?
- MR. NORINSBERG: Objection.
- 21 A. There wasn't any one specific reason. I was
- 22 documenting the roll calls, where officers receive orders
- 23 pertaining to their duties throughout the day. I was
- 24 particularly concerned about how they reference training
- 25 and sign the training log, and -- they wanted officers to

- 1 just sign the training log. And most of the time, we
- 2 didn't receive any training that I became aware of, was
- 3 mandated by police headquarters.
- And I heard other officers talk about how
- 5 recorders can back you up from accusations made by people
- on the street, and stuff like that. It wasn't any one
- 7 particular reason. It was -- it was to document the roll
- 8 calls and the falsifying the training log, and those -- I
- 9 don't recall any other particular issue or specific issue.
- 10 Q. Did you think at the time you made these
- 11 recordings, that you would be suing the City at some later
- 12 date?
- 13 A. No.
- 14 Q. Did anyone suggest to you that you record your
- 15 coworkers without their knowledge?
- 16 A. I don't recall any suggestion.
- 17 Q. Did your father ever suggest to you that you
- 18 record your coworkers without their knowledge?
- 19 A. No.
- 20 Q. Do you know if your father has ever recorded his
- 21 coworkers without their knowledge?
- 22 A. I don't believe so, no.
- 23 Q. How many recordings have you made that are
- 24 related to your claims in this lawsuit?
- 25 MR. NORINSBERG: Objection. You can answer.

- 1 anything, exactly. There was no way to know when something
- 2 would happen.
- 3 Q. Did you record just the roll calls, or did you
- 4 record as well, other portions of your tour?
- 5 A. There were times where I think there are -- there
- 6 are -- where I didn't go back to my locker and put the
- 7 recorder back, and the recorder would go on until I did
- 8 that. It would be after roll call. There may have been
- 9 times like that.
- 10 But I don't recall recording the entire day or --
- 11 you know, I don't remember how long or when exactly I would
- 12 have the recorder.
- 13 Q. So it was not your intention, though, to record
- 14 your actual tours on command?
- MR. NORINSBERG: Objection.
- 16 A. You mean including the roll call?
- 17 Q. Excluding the roll call.
- 18 A. I don't believe I ever intended to record the
- 19 entire tour. I didn't know if I had the technology; I
- 20 didn't know if it would run that long. My intent was to
- 21 catch -- to document the roll calls, the official, "This is
- 22 your duty today, this is what we want you to do, and sign
- 23 the training log."
- Q. Why did you feel you had to record those roll
- 25 calls?

- 1 A. Again, the falsifying the training we were
- 2 receiving, numerous times, instructions on how to increase
- 3 our activity. What I was hearing was, "Arrest people or
- 4 summons people without probable cause." And I had a -- I
- 5 had concerns about those orders.
- Q. What did you intend to do with those recordings?
- 7 A. What did I intend to do?
- 8 O. Yes.
- 9 A. I had no -- I was just documenting -- I was just
- 10 documenting and somewhat corroborating to myself what was
- 11 being said. I had no -- I didn't start any investigation
- 12 at that time. I was just -- again, learning the
- 13 technology, and hearing what was said.
- 14 Q. So when you first started recording, you had no
- 15 intention of sharing these recordings with anyone?
- 16 A. No. I didn't think of anyone who would be
- 17 concerned. I never -- I didn't think about it. What I was
- 18 documenting was a pattern, not any specific one recording.
- 19 But a pattern over a period of time of this -- of what
- 20 supervisors were telling patrolmen.
- 21 Q. Did you save every recording that you made?
- 22 A. I don't believe so.
- 23 Q. Did you delete recordings?
- 24 A. Not intentionally. Again, there were times where
- 25 the battery would go dead transferring the recording to the

- 1 A. I don't recall if I had lunch with anyone or
- 2 where we went.
- 3 Q. Your Complaint states that Lieutenant Caughey was
- 4 menacing and threatening to you, by keeping his hand on his
- 5 gun on October 31, 2009; is that correct?
- 6 A. Correct.
- 7 Q. Did you believe he was going to shoot you?
- 8 A. At the time, I believed his behavior was
- 9 inappropriate. And I -- I felt anything was possible.
- 10 Q. Did you believe that anyone from the N.Y.P.D. was
- 11 going to use their firearm against you on October 31, 2009?
- 12 A. I don't recall specifically thinking that, no.
- 13 Q. Had anyone from the N.Y.P.D. ever threatened you
- with a firearm prior to October 31, 2009?
- 15 A. I don't believe so, no.
- 16 Q. You allege that P.A.A. Boston told you that she
- 17 also believed Defendant Caughey was menacing that day; is
- 18 that correct?
- 19 A. Yes.
- 20 Q. Did you record that statement by her?
- 21 A. I don't know if -- I don't know -- I haven't
- 22 heard that recording; it's possible.
- Q. Did she tell you why she thought Lieutenant
- 24 Caughey was menacing?
- 25 A. I don't remember if she did or not.

- 1 Q. On October 31, 2009, did you state in reference
- 2 to Defendant Mauriello, "I would like to at least have a
- 3 fucking chance to go in a gun battle with him"?
- 4 A. What was that again?
- 5 Q. Did you state on October 31, 2009, in reference
- 6 to Defendant Mauriello, "I would like to have at least a
- fucking chance to go in a gun battle with him"?
- 8 A. I don't recall making -- ever making a statement
- 9 like that.
- 10 Q. Do you recall making that statement about anyone,
- 11 not including Defendant Mauriello?
- 12 A. I don't recall ever making that statement about
- 13 anyone, no.
- 14 O. On October 31, 2009, do you recall stating in
- 15 reference to a recording device, "How long do you think
- 16 that'll fucking stay on me, after they fucking kill me?"
- 17 A. Again, I don't recall making that statement, but
- 18 it's possible.
- 19 Q. Who did you make that statement to?
- 20 A. I would have to hear the recording.
- Q. But sitting here right now, you don't recall who
- you made that statement to?
- 23 A. No.
- Q. Had anyone at the N.Y.P.D. threatened to kill you
- 25 prior to you making this statement?

- 1 MR. NORINSBERG: Objection.
- 2 A. I didn't receive any explicit threat, no.
- 3 Q. Did you receive any implicit threat?
- 4 A. I felt Caughey's behavior that day was menacing
- 5 and threatening.
- 6 Q. And you believed that he was threatening to kill
- 7 you?
- 8 MR. NORINSBERG: Objection.
- 9 A. I believe his behavior was menacing, and
- 10 intimidating and threatening.
- 11 Q. Besides Lieutenant Caughey, were you in fear from
- 12 any other member of the N.Y.P.D.?
- 13 A. I don't recall any exact -- I was concerned; I
- 14 don't know if I would define it at fear. Maybe at certain
- 15 times, I was more concerned towards the end of the day.
- 16 Q. Who were you concerned about at the end of the
- 17 day?
- 18 A. Mostly, Lieutenant Caughey.
- 19 Q. Did you tell anyone on October 31, 2009, that
- 20 "Mom is speaking to me"?
- 21 A. I don't recall ever making that statement, no.
- 22 O. Do you recall making a statement on October 31,
- 23 2009, "I have heard guys say that I am six-foot four and
- 24 that I lift motorcycles over my head"?
- 25 A. I don't recall ever making that statement.

- Q. Did you follow the procedure for leaving work
- 2 early on October 31, 2009?
- 3 A. I believe so, yes.
- 4 Q. Whom did you ask to leave early?
- 5 A. I believe her name was Sergeant Huffman,
- 6 something like that.
- 7 Q. How did you ask her to leave early?
- 8 A. I informed her -- I believe I told her I had an
- 9 upset stomach, and I gave her a -- a slip with my -- with
- 10 all my information on it; my name, my address where I would
- ll be. And I told her "I don't feel well." And I turned in
- 12 all the paperwork I was involved with. And that was it.
- 13 Q. What paperwork did you give her?
- 14 A. Referring to what I was working on, or the slip?
- 15 Q. What did you give her?
- 16 A. I gave her -- it's referred to as a sick slip. I
- 17 . am not sure the exact form number, but the slip is what
- 18 officers fill out when they go sick.
- 19 Q. Did you give her other documents at the same time
- 20 you gave the sick slip?
- 21 A. I don't recall giving her any specific other
- 22 documents that I gave her at that time, no.
- 23 Q. Did Sergeant Huffman tell you that you cannot
- 24 leave unless you wanted lost time?
- 25 A. I believe she said something to that effect. And

- I said "lost time is fine," to the best of my memory, "lost
- 2 time is fine." But then I think she said the lost time had
- 3 to be authorized.
- And I didn't feel the lost time would have been
- 5 authorized. I preferred -- I was feeling under the
- 6 weather, and I preferred to go regular sick.
- 7 Q. But you recall agreeing to lost time?
- 8 A. I don't recall agreeing to lost time. It's
- 9 possible, if that was -- if she would have allowed it, then
- 10 I would have agreed to it. But I didn't feel lost time
- 11 would have been granted.
- 12 Q. So when she said you can take lost time, what did
- 13 you say to her?
- 14 A. It would be something to the effect, "That's
- 15 fine."
- 16 Q. Did you speak with Police Officer Yadira
- 17 Rodriguez, after you asked to leave work early?
- 18 A. I don't recall speaking to Yadira Rodriguez, no.
- 19 Q. Do you recall speaking with Police Officer Craig
- 20 Rudy after you asked to leave work early?
- 21 A. I don't recall any specific conversation with any
- 22 officers.
- Q. Did you believe that you were leaving work early
- 24 against the orders of Sergeant Huffman?
- 25 A. No. I didn't believe that, no.

- 1 leaving the precinct on October 31, 2009?
- 2 A. I don't recall speaking to him, no.
- 3 Q. What happened, after you left the precinct?
- A. To the best of my memory, I drove home. I got
- 5 home, I notified I.A.B. of -- by phone, I notified I.A.B.
- of Caughey's behavior. I addressed my upset stomach or --
- 7 they were flu symptoms, with NyQuil.
- 8 And I -- I recall talking to my father. And
- 9 then -- then I laid down to go to sleep. And then the
- 10 details after that, are in the recording.
- 11 Q. Why did you notify I.A.B. of Caughey's behavior?
- 12 A. I felt his behavior should have been
- 13 investigated.
- 14 Q. How so?
- 15 A. How would they investigate it?
- 16 Q. I am sorry, that was an unclear question. Why
- 17 did you believe that Caughey's behavior needed to be
- 18 addressed by the I.A.B.?
- 19 A. He was acting in an -- in a bizarre, unusual
- 20 manner; pacing around me, carrying his firearm in an
- 21 improper fashion. Ms. Boston called me on the phone and
- 22 told me he was pacing around me and staring at me. And I
- 23 just had a general concern about what his problem was with
- 24 me.
- 25 Q. So what did you want I.A.B. to do?

- 1 A. I assumed that they would ask him -- ask him why
- 2 he was behaving that way, that day.
- 3 Q. And that was why you called I.A.B., was so that
- 4 they could ask Defendant Caughey why he was behaving the
- 5 way he was?
- 6 A. To investigate in general, his behavior. And I
- 7 believe -- I don't know if I -- in that phone call, I
- 8 addressed that I was concerned about the Complaint that I
- 9 had filed against him, just a little over a month before
- 10 that. But it's possible, I did; it's possible I didn't.
- 11 Q. What Complaint had you filed against him a month
- 12 before that?
- 13 A. I don't remember the details. But off the top of
- 14 my head, it was regarding him and another supervisor
- 15 working together to obtain that supervisor's personnel
- 16 file, to take out command disciplines and Civilian
- 17 Complaint Review Board reports that would affect that
- 18 supervisor's promotion.
- 19 Q. Were you aware that C.C.R.B. records, or Civilian
- 20 Complaint Review Board records, are hosted on a database by
- 21 the C.C.R.B., that is not accessible to the N.Y.P.D.?
- MR. NORINSBERG: Objection.
- 23 A. I assume that they would have their own records.
- 24 Q. So why do you believe that Lieutenant Caughey and
- 25 this other officer would destroy records that existed in a

- 1 Q. You have listened to that recording. Could you
- 2 hear what was being said on the recording?
- 3 A. I don't believe I have heard what was being said
- 4 on the recording.
- 5 Q. Which EMT took your blood pressure?
- 6 A. The male.
- 7 Q. Did you agree to go to the hospital at any time?
- 8 A. Yes.
- 9 Q. Why did you agree to go to the hospital?
- 10 A. I felt it would be safer than where I was. I
- 11 felt, possibly, the hospital would be a safer place, in
- 12 public view. And it would -- it would get all of those
- 13 police supervisors out of my home. I thought they would
- 14 leave.
- 15 Q. What happened after you agreed to go to the
- 16 hospital?
- 17 A. To the best of my memory, I followed EMS
- 18 downstairs, we walked outside towards the ambulance, a male
- 19 in a white shirt -- a white police uniform shirt approached
- 20 the male EMS as we were walking toward the ambulance.
- 21 And he stated in some manner, he said "Jamaica?"
- 22 And the male EMS stated "Yeah." At that moment, I RMA'd,
- 23 refused medical attention to the female, who was behind me.
- 24 And I walked back to my home.
- 25 Q. Could you describe the white shirt police

- 1 officer.
- 2 A. He was male, wearing a uniform hat. And I don't
- 3 believe I had ever seen him before.
- 4 O. How tall was he?
- 5 A. He was about the same height as the EMS, male
- 6 EMS.
- 7 Q. Approximately how tall was the EMS, male?
- 8 A. I don't recall. They were about -- approximately
- 9 the same size.
- 10 Q. Taller than you?
- 11 A. I don't believe they are -- they were taller than
- 12 me, no.
- 13 Q. Why did you refuse medical attention?
- 14 A. I wasn't familiar with Jamaica Hospital. I was
- 15 familiar with Forest Hills Hospital, and I believed I had
- 16 the right to choose which hospital I could go to.
- 17 And I believe that was the police department's
- 18 intent, to convince the personnel that that's the hospital
- 19 they wanted me to go to.
- Q. Why did you believe that?
- 21 A. The way they were communicating with each other,
- 22 I didn't feel it was appropriate that EMS was sharing
- 23 medical information with them. I felt there was no -- I
- 24 don't even feel the exam was that objective.
- 25 I just didn't -- I just didn't feel safe going

- 1 with them anymore, since I had no control over where I was
- 2 going.
- 3 Q. You did not believe the test of your blood
- 4 pressure was objective?
- 5 MR. NORINSBERG: Objection.
- 6 A. I felt whatever number they gave was appropriate
- 7 for what was happening; a chief invading my home, I felt it
- 8 was -- appropriate.
- 9 Q. Did the EMS technicians explain to you why they
- 10 were taking you to Jamaica?
- 11 A. If they did, I don't recall any specific reason,
- 12 other than the high blood pressure.
- 13 Q. Did you ask to go to Forest Hills?
- 14 A. Yes.
- 15 Q. What was the response?
- 16 A. I don't recall any response, other than -- they
- 17 may have said "okay." I don't recall their exact response.
- 18 Q. Is Jamaica closer to your apartment than Forest
- 19 Hills?
- 20 A. If it is, it's fractions.
- Q. Were you on the phone with anyone when you
- 22 entered the ambulance, or approached the ambulance?
- 23 A. I may have been; I don't remember.
- Q. With whom were you speaking?
- 25 A. If it was anyone, I believe it would be my

- 1 your apartment?
- 2 A. I don't know if there was another chief. I
- 3 didn't recognize another chief.
- 4 Q. Did anyone try to stop you from returning to your
- 5 apartment?
- 6 A. I don't recall anyone stepping in front of me.
- 7 But as I was entering my home, Captain Lauterborn pushed
- 8 his way into the house behind me, not allowing me to shut
- 9 the door behind me.
- 10 Q. How did he push his way in?
- 11 A. He pushes like a football tackle.
- 12 Q. He tackled you?
- 13 A. No. Like a football tackle would guard. He used
- 14 his arm and he shoved the door against the wall abruptly.
- 15 And he did it again, and he did it again to the door to my
- 16 apartment.
- 17 Q. I am sorry, I am not understanding. He used his
- 18 football tackle on what?
- MR. NORINSBERG: Objection.
- 20 A. The comparison to a tackle -- in football, you
- 21 block -- maybe it was a block, but it was a push, if you
- 22 don't want to use the football analogy.
- 23 But he used his arm, I believe it would be his
- 24 right arm, to push the door open and slammed the door open
- 25 against the wall. And then he did it again on the second

- l door, he did it again on the third door.
- 2 Q. So I guess that's where the confusion is. What
- 3 are the three doors you are speaking of?
- A. There's a door to enter the house, then there's a
- 5 door about four feet from that door with a lock on it. And
- 6 then up the stairs to my room, is another door with a lock
- 7 on it.
- Q. And so he pushed his way through all three doors,
- 9 is what you are saying; is that correct?
- 10 A. Preventing me from securing the doors, correct,
- 11 from keeping them out.
- 12 Q. So what happened when you -- when you returned to
- 13 your apartment?
- 14 A. To the best of my memory, I -- I went back to my
- 15 bed and I laid down.
- 16 Q. How far behind you was Defendant Lauterborn on
- 17 your way up the stairs?
- 18 A. He was directly behind me. I could feel his
- 19 breath on my neck.
- 20 Q. But he didn't touch you at that time?
- 21 A. I wasn't -- I certainly wasn't pushed or shoved.
- 22 He just -- he kept the doors open.
- Q. And were you running up the stairs?
- 24 A. No. At no time was I running.
- 25 O. So you were walking up the stairs?

- 1 A. Correct.
- 2 Q. And he was walking behind you?
- 3 A. I believe he was.
- 4 Q. When you entered your apartment, you walked into
- 5 your bedroom; is that correct?
- 6 A. Correct.
- 7 Q. And then you laid back down?
- 8 A. Yes.
- 9 Q. What happened next?
- 10 A. After I laid down, they tried talking me into
- 11 going with them, again. I don't remember exactly the
- 12 sequence of -- if I leave anything out, again the recording
- 13 would show details.
- 14 But after that, Chief Marino came back. I
- 15 remember him saying, "Be a man. Get up, walk out, or we
- 16 are going to E.D.P. you." I recall that conversation going
- 17 back and forth; the choices he was giving me, to do what
- 18 they said, or I would be E.D.P.'d.
- 19 Q. What were your choices?
- 20 A. To go with him or get in the -- to the best of my
- 21 memory, either get in the ambulance, which meant going with
- 22 them, or I would be E.D.P.'d and forced out.
- 23 Q. So did Chief Marino give you the option of
- 24 voluntarily going to the hospital?
- 25 A. He gave an option, yes.

- 1 police department, that makes that determination.
- 2 Q. Have you ever declared someone an emotionally
- 3 disturbed person?
- 4 A. I don't believe so, no.
- 5 Q. On the occasions when you have interacted with an
- 6 emotionally disturbed person, on how many occasions has
- 7 that individual been sent to the hospital?
- 8 MR. NORINSBERG: Objection.
- 9 A. If I could remember every single time, I could
- 10 probably answer that question. But I don't -- I would
- 11 assume if they were deemed E.D.P., they would have to go to
- 12 a hospital.
- 13 Q. Have you dealt with E.D.P.'s on many occasions?
- 14 A. I wouldn't know how to quantify "many." Over
- 15 seven years, I recall -- I don't recall any specific
- 16 incident involving any one E.D.P.
- 17 Q. How many officers used force against you on
- 18 October 31, 2009, in your apartment?
- 19 MR. NORINSBERG: Objection. You can answer.
- 20 A. Approximately four to five.
- 21 Q. You have mentioned Sergeant Duncan and Lieutenant
- 22 Gough. Who else used force against you in your apartment
- 23 on October 31, 2009?
- 24 A. Lieutenant Broschart and Chief Marino.
- Q. What force did Lieutenant Broschart use against

- 1 you?
- 2 A. He was standing on my legs.
- 3 .Q. When was he standing on your legs?
- 4 A. After they slammed me on the floor.
- 5 Q. How was he standing on your legs?
- 6 A. Like a -- like he would be standing on the floor,
- 7 but my legs were underneath his feet.
- 8 Q. On your calf, on your thigh?
- 9 A. I believe -- it was the upper thigh, upper thigh,
- 10 rear leg. I was on my stomach. And it was apparent -- I
- 11 mean, I could see him until Chief Marino put his foot over
- 12 my face, so I couldn't see who was doing what.
- 13 Q. So Lieutenant Broschart stepped on the back of
- 14 your thigh while you were on your stomach?
- 15 A. He stood on the back of my thighs.
- 16 Q. Did he jump?
- 17 A. I don't recall any jumping, but I recall the
- 18 pressure.
- 19 Q. How long was he standing on your upper thighs?
- 20 A. I believe he got off after the -- when they
- 21 turned me over for the search, he was definitely not on my
- 22 legs anymore.
- 23 Q. So was he on your thighs while they were trying
- 24 to handcuff you, or after they handcuffed you?
- 25 A. It was right after I got slammed on the floor.

- 1 Q. Was he -- so if he is standing on the back of
- 2 your thighs, were each of his feet on one of your legs?
- 3 A. Correct.
- 4 Q. Which way was he facing?
- 5 A. He was -- the front of his body would have been
- 6 facing the direction of my head.
- 7 Q. Now, you stated that Chief Marino stepped on your
- 8 face; is that correct?
- 9 A. Correct.
- 10 Q. When he stepped on your face, did he lift his
- 11 other leg off the ground?
- 12 A. What do you mean, the other leg that wasn't --
- 13 the other leg or the foot that wasn't on my face?
- 14 Q. Correct.
- 15 A. I don't believe so. I believe I would have felt
- 16 that pressure.
- 17 Q. On a scale of one to 10, how much pain were you
- in when Defendant Marino stepped on your face?
- 19 A. Eight or nine.
- 20 Q. Do you have any -- did you have any bruises from
- 21 having your face stepped on?
- 22 A. I believe I had an abrasion for a couple days.
- 23 O. How large of an abrasion?
- 24 A. I wasn't -- I didn't have access to a mirror for
- 25 a few days. If there wasn't an actual abrasion, you could

- 1 A. I don't recall every exact time -- I certainly
- 2 don't recall ever seeing him in person. But I believe his
- 3 photograph is on the wall of some precincts or
- 4 headquarters.
- I don't recall exactly where I -- where I saw
- 6 him, but -- he was certainly familiar to me. And I know
- 7 him to be the Deputy Commissioner of Public Information,
- 8 Paul Browne.
- 9 Q. You allege that the police removed certain
- 10 documents from your apartment after they -- excuse me,
- 11 strike that.
- 12 You allege that police removed certain documents
- 13 from your apartment, after you were taken into the
- 14 ambulance; is that correct?
- 15 A. Yes. I believe there were multiple documents
- 16 removed from my home.
- 17 Q. What documents do you believe were removed from
- 18 your home?
- 19 A. The only one specifically that I recognized gone
- 20 was a folder containing notes -- I had written on the
- 21 folder, "Report to the Commissioner," it was a -- notes
- 22 regarding my investigating misconduct and corruption. And
- 23 I was going to compile a report from a patrolman's
- 24 perspective, to the police commissioner.
- Q. Were any other documents taken?

- 1 A. I believe -- I believe -- I never located a lot
- 2 of Complaint reports. But the actual number, I don't
- 3 specifically remember.
- Q. What is the proper method of maintaining N.Y.P.D.
- 5 Complaint reports?
- 6 MR. NORINSBERG: Objection.
- 7 A. The proper method would be to keep them secured
- 8 in some fashion or other.
- 9 Q. Were you supposed to keep N.Y.P.D. Complaint
- 10 reports in your apartment?
- 11 A. I felt it was necessary to conduct my
- 12 investigation, and to help the Quality Assurance Division.
- 13 Like they instructed me to keep my -- anything else I find,
- 14 to bring to them. It felt -- at the time, it felt safer
- 15 than keeping them in my locker at work.
- But no, I feel it was appropriate to have these
- 17 documents. I was not sharing this information with anyone,
- 18 other than the department investigators.
- 19 Q. How many N.Y.P.D. Complaint reports did you have
- 20 in your apartment?
- 21 A. The approximate number, I would not be able to --
- 22 I can't recall all the ones I had.
- 23 O. More than 100?
- 24 A. Again, I can't approximate the number because I
- 25 never -- I didn't keep a log of -- I never expected anyone

- 1 to remove it from my home.
- Q. Well, did you have a box full of Complaint
- 3 reports?
- 4 A. I would say there was a container full of
- 5 documents regarding -- regarding issues with the
- 6 department. And there were certainly folders that were
- 7 missing from that container, when I went back to the house.
- 8 Q. How large was that container?
- 9 A. I would -- I don't recall that size that
- 10 container was, exactly. It was a normal-size box, or a
- 11 plastic container; but normal-sized, not large, not small.
- 12 Q. Are we talking a banker's box or an outdoor
- 13 storage box?
- MR. NORINSBERG: Objection.
- 15 A. Probably a little larger than a banker's box.
- 16 Q. Did the officers take all of your documents?
- 17 A. No. I don't believe they found all of them, no.
- 18 O. There were more that were hidden?
- 19 A. I believe the documents I secured with the
- 20 Quality Assurance Division were still with them.
- 21 Q. So the officers who came to your apartment took
- 22 all of the documents from your apartment?
- MR. NORINSBERG: Objection.
- 24 A. All of what documents?
- Q. Well, all of the N.Y.P.D. records that you have

- 1 stated, did they take all of them from your apartment or
- 2 did they leave some?
- 3 A. I don't recall the documents -- I don't recall.
- 4 Q. Did they take the whole box?
- 5 A. No. I don't -- it was obvious, the container I
- 6 am referring to was -- was disturbed, but not completely
- 7 taken. Just -- there was stuff in files and stuff. The
- 8 other stuff would have been copies of patrol guide pages
- 9 and stuff like that.
- 10 It was -- it was more like, the 61's, I know for
- 11 sure, and the Report to the Commissioner, the notes on the
- 12 Report to the Commissioner. And I don't specifically
- 13 recall what else is missing other than the -- the recorder
- 14 that Marino took.
- 15 Q. You state that Marino took a recording of yours?
- 16 A. Yes.
- 17 Q. What recording did he take?
- 18 MR. NORINSBERG: Recorder.
- 19 A. He took the actual hardware, the digital voice
- 20 recorder.
- 21 Q. What was on that digital voice recorder?
- 22 A. Some roll calls.
- Q. What roll calls were on there?
- 24 A. I don't know, specifically. I would have to hear
- 25 it.

- 1 Q. Had you downloaded the roll calls from that
- 2 recorder to your computer?
- 3 A. I don't believe so.
- 4 Q. Were you recording the incident with the officers
- 5 in your apartment on that tape recorder?
- 6 A. No -- I believed it was on, at the time that was
- 7 happening, yes. But I don't have that recorder's
- 8 recording.
- 9 Q. So you had two recorders at the time of the
- 10 incident on October 31, 2009?
- 11 A. I had at least two recorders, yes.
- 12 Q. How many recorders did you have?
- 13 A. I had the one that picked up the -- the
- 14 Halloween, the actual home invasion, and the recorder that
- 15 was in my pocket.
- 16 O. And which one did Chief Marino take?
- 17 A. The one they found, when they were searching me.
- 18 Q. What brand was that one?
- 19 A. It was an Olympus.
- 20 Q. Is this the one that looked lick a watch?
- 21 A. No.
- 22 Q. Is the one that captured the home invasion, the
- 23 one that looked like a watch?
- 24 A. No.
- 25 O. So how many tape recorders did you have?

- 1 MS. PUBLICKER: L-U-E-L.
- Q. In total, how many doctors did you speak with at
- 3 Jamaica Hospital?
- A. At least -- at least four, maybe more.
- 5 Q. When were you released from the hospital?
- 6 A. I believe it was Friday, late afternoon.
- 7 Q. Where did you go after you were released from the
- 8 hospital?
- 9 A. I went to a hotel.
- 10 Q. What hotel did you go to?
- 11 A. I don't recall the name. But it's across the
- 12 street from the hospital.
- 13 Q. Why did you go to a hotel?
- 14 A. My father had a room there, and there was nowhere
- 15 else for me to go.
- 16 Q. Did your father have a room there before
- 17 October 31, 2009?
- 18 A. No.
- 19 Q. Where was your father living on October 31, 2009?
- 20 A. I believe it was 196 County Highway 107.
- 21 Q. Why did you not go home?
- 22 A. I didn't have -- they had confiscated the keys to
- 23 my apartment. And I didn't feel safe there.
- 24 O. Why didn't you feel safe there?
- 25 A. I felt that once they learned that I was out,

- 1 Q. Did you record that conversation?
- 2 A. I don't believe. I am assuming the Internal
- 3 Affairs investigators were recording it, like they should
- 4 have been.
- 5 Q. Why do you assume that?
- 6 A. Because they were Internal Affairs investigators,
- 7 and I believe my accusations were that -- were serious
- 8 enough to warrant a recording of conversation.
- 9 Q. I will take that picture back (handing).
- 10 Did they tell you they were recording you when
- 11 you returned to your apartment, after you left the
- 12 hospital?
- 13 A. I don't recall anyone telling me that they were
- 14 recording me.
- 15 O. You were interviewed by I.A.B. when you were in
- 16 the hospital; is that correct?
- 17 A. Correct.
- 18 Q. When you were interviewed at the hospital, did
- 19 I.A.B. tell you you were being recorded at that time?
- 20 A. I don't recall anyone ever telling me I was being
- 21 recorded.
- 22 Q. You claim that Lieutenant Caughey took your memo
- 23 book on October 31, 2009; is that correct?
- 24 A. Correct.
- Q. Did he give your memo book back to you?

- 1 A. Yes.
- Q. Was anything removed from your memo book when he
- 3 gave it back to you?
- 4 A. I don't believe so. I reviewed it. If there was
- 5 anything missing, I don't recall being aware of it.
- 6 Q. Was your memo book defaced in any manner when you
- 7 got it back?
- 8 A. There were certain pages with -- I don't know
- 9 about "defaced," but there were pages with certain notes on
- 10 them regarding corruption or misconduct that were
- 11 earmarked. They were bent in the corner, or folded over.
- 12 Q. Were any of your notes blacked out?
- 13 A. I don't recall anything being blacked out.
- 14 Q. So Defendant Caughey did not destroy any evidence
- in your memo book; is that correct?
- 16 A. I don't know. I don't recall how carefully I
- 17 reviewed the memo book. I only had it for -- I believe
- 18 that memo book is with Internal Affairs investigators right
- 19 now. I don't recall anything being blacked out. I just
- 20 remember the pages, certain pages were folded.
- 21 Q. Did any defendant ever tell you not to speak to
- 22 the media?
- A. Not in those exact words, no.
- Q. Did they tell you in any words, not to speak to
- 25 the media?

- 1 Q. What you meant there when you said that's the way
- 2 to fuck him over --
- A. Make him answer for his actions.
- 4 Q. How did you expect to do that?
- 5 A. I expected the Department to take him out of that
- 6 position and at least admonish him.
- 7 Q. Was there something about going to court you
- 8 thought might happen as a result of this?
- 9 A. At the time I was trying to appeal an annual
- 10 evaluation. And after meeting with Inspector Mauriello and
- 11 others he made reference to a lawsuit, and that gave me the
- 12 impression he wasn't going to try to mitigate within the
- 13 precinct or the Department. I was probably going to have
- 14 to find some other way of resolving my employment issue.
- 15 As far as having the failing evaluation which that starts a
- 16 paper trial to being fired.
- 17 Q. So it is a paper trial to the person who is being
- 18 evaluated gets fired, meaning you?
- 19 A. That is what I believe; correct.
- 20 Q. You intended to sue him and the Department over
- 21 that evaluations?
- 22 A. I believe that was possibly the only way that was
- 23 going to be handled. At the time of this conversation,
- 24 October 7, 2009, I believe I was now referring back to the
- 25 PBA. I was communicating with Stuart London, who is an

43

- 1 attorney with the PBA.
- 2 MR. SMITH: And we are not going to talk
- 3 about any discussions or advice given to you. I
- 4 will provide information about your beliefs and
- 5 expectations and the background for that, but you
- 6 will not be disclosing the contents of
- 7 communication with counsel which would include a
- 8 lawyer at the PBA.
- 9 MR. KRETZ: Do you want to give him the whole
- 10 chapter on attorney/client privilege.
- 11 MR. SMITH: Only as much as is necessary.
- 12 A. That's an easy one anyway.
- 13 Q. I agree.
- 14 A. Glad you agree. I can't remember the question.
- 15 You asked me about a lawsuit.
- 16 Q. Yeah.
- 17 A. I believe, it doesn't have to be in a courtroom,
- 18 but I thought maybe once, I can't remember when I first met
- 19 Stuart London, but I kinda got the feeling it was not going
- 20 to be a problem. He was going to be able to handle it
- 21 amicably. But I don't know, I had the feeling and this is
- 22 a few months after first meeting Mr. London, and I've
- 23 written more letters to the union. It looked more and more
- like it was going to have to be, I was going to have to
- 25 find another private attorney, and possibly file a lawsuit.

- 1 Q. You had in mind as of the date of this
- 2 conversation October 7, 2007 you would be in a lawsuit
- 3 against the NYPD and Mr. Mauriello and others?
- 4 A. That question sounded complicated.
- 5 Q. As of the date October 7, 2009, your assumption
- 6 at that point was you would end up in a lawsuit that you
- 7 would commence against the NYPD?
- 8 A. I don't know who it would be against, but
- 9 regarding my employment. That I had already seeked counsel
- 10 regarding these issues with a private attorney.
- 11 Q. You told us that. So, what you had in mind was,
- 12 you would bring a lawsuit relating to your evaluation
- whatever that form might be?
- 14 A. Assuming that I had one after seeking counsel. I
- 15 am assuming that would be the only way to resolve this
- 16 regarding the employment.
- 17 Q. Did you expect in that lawsuit to challenge your
- 18 modified duty status in the Department?
- 19 A. Say that again.
- 20 Q. You had in mind that you would be involved in a
- 21 lawsuit. And you had in mind that lawsuit would involve
- 22 your evaluation if a lawyer said you could bring such a
- 23 suit. And now I am asking whether you had also in mind if
- 24 that lawsuit would involve your modified duty status in the
- 25 Department?

- 1 A. When you say evaluation, you mean the annual
- 2 evaluation I was given by Inspector Mauriello?
- 3 Q. Is that what you were referring to?
- 4 A. Yes, I think you divided both of them, but there
- 5 were two evaluations. One caused the modification, I guess,
- 6 the psych evaluation.
- 7 Q. I was talking about your performance evaluation.
- 8 Did you understand, did you have in mind that the lawsuit
- 9 you might bring would also involve a challenge to your
- 10 modified duty status?
- 11 A. I don't know if I was aware that would have
- 12 played into that. But I was bringing that issue up on how
- 13 to contest that with my union.
- 14 Q. Did you want to sue about your modified duty
- 15 status?
- 16 A. At that time I felt it could been resolved still.
- 17 Even though it had happened, I still didn't see any
- 18 documentation on it. I was using references, to the best
- 19 of my memory, I referenced the bell that can't be unrung.
- 20 But still I had no documentation on what they were doing.
- 21 Can we go back in time and everyone say knock it off and
- 22 behave.
- 23 Q. Let's go back to February of 2009. Wasn't there
- 24 a meeting in February with all your supervising officers
- 25 where your evaluation was discussed?